

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/537,193	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TSAI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> NICHOLAS KOKKINOS	<b>Art Unit</b> 1787	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** ALLOWANCE

(1) NICHOLAS KOKKINOS.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) EDWARD KRIEGSMAN.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 June 2010

**Time:** 2:24pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

104, 164

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner contacted Mr. Kriegsmann to request authorization to make an examiner's amendment to the claims. Mr. Kriegsmann agreed to send a facsimile transmission detailing the authorized changes. It is noted that the amendment to the original title was made given the examiner's position that the title was not descriptive.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Callie E. Shosho/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1787

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)